1	Adam P Thursby, Esq. (SBN 318465) Kristin A. Zilberstein, Esq. (SBN: 200041) Jennifer R. Bergh, Esq. (SBN 305219) LAW OFFICES OF MICHELLE GHIDOTTI 1920 Old Tustin Ave., Santa Ana, CA 92705	
2		
3		
4		
5	Ph: (949) 427-2010 Fax: (949) 427-2732	
6	athursby@ghidottilaw.com	
7	Attorney for Movant	
8	Bosco Credit LLC	
9		
10	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	OAKLAND DIVISION	
13	In Re:	CASE NO.: 18-42125
14	JOSE A LOZA	CHAPTER 13
15	Debtor.	
16		RS No.: MRG-100
17		MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN
18 19		SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC
20		STAY
21		Date: November 9, 2018
22		Time: 10:00 a.m. Ctrm: 215
23		Place: U.S. Bankruptcy Court 1300 Clay Street
)	Oakland, CA 94612
24		Judge: Charles Novack
25		
26		
27		
28	TO ALL PARTIES IN INTEREST AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:	

Case: 18-42125 Doc# 16-3 Filed: 10/03/18 otio Entered: M.O.O.3 (18 16:25: O.O. Au Rages 1 of

Bosco Credit LLC, ("Movant"), by and through its undersigned attorney, moves this Court for termination of all stays and injunctions, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §362(d) and 105 and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001 and 9014 and regarding the real property commonly known as 335 Burbank Road, Antioch, CA 94509, ("Property" herein).

The relief requested in this Motion is proper for all of the reasons set forth in the Memorandum of Points and Authorities and incorporated herein by this reference.

On or about 9/11/2018, Debtor filed a Voluntary Petition under Chapter 13 of the Bankrutpcy Code. Prusuant to 11 U.S.C. §362, the Petition stays the commencement or continuantion of any proceedings against the Debtor or any act to obtain possession of any property of the Debtor or to enforce any lien against any property of the Debtor. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1334. The filing of this Motion commences a contested matter within the meaning of Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

RELIEF FROM STAY SHOULD BE GRANTED UNDER 11 U.S.C. SECTION 362(D)(1) BECAUSE DEBTOR HAS NO OWNERSHIP INTEREST IN THE **PROPERTY**

Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §362(d)(1), Movant is entitled to relief from the automatic stay as Debtor no longer has an ownership interest in the property. The Debtor has the burdent of showing there is no cause to terminate the stay. *In re Ellis*, 60 B.R. 432 (9th Circ. BAP 1985). Where cause is shown, courts have no discretion, but must grant relief. *In re Ford*, 36 B.R. 501 (Dt 1983).

The evidence demonstrates that the Debtor does not have the right to continued occupancy of the Property. The subject petition was filed on September 11, 2018. A prior petition was filed on August 27, 2018 and was dismissed on September 11, 2018.

Movant was the successful purchaser of the Property at the foreclosure sale held on January 31, 2018. Purusant to the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale recorded on February 5, 2018, as Document No.: 20189001808200003 Movant is the legal owner of the Property.

RELIEF FROM STAY SHOULD BE GRANTED UNDER 11 U.S.C. SECTION 362(D)(2) BECAUSE THERE IS NO EQUITY IN THE PROPERTY AND IT IS NOT NEEDED FOR AN EFFECTIVE REORGANIZATION.

Bankrupcty Code *Section 362(d)(2)* provides for relief from the automatic stay if the Debtors do not have equity in the property and the property is not needed for an effective reorganization. The burden is on the Debtors to prove the Property is necessary to effect reorganization. In re Gauvin, 24 B.R. 578,580 (9th Cir.B.A.P. 1982).

Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 362(d)(2)(A) the Debtors do not have equity in the Property. As stated above, pursuant to the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale, recorded on February 5, 2018, Movant is the owner of the Property. Therefore, Debtors do not have equity in the Property and Movant's Motion should be granted.

//// ////

////

////

1 ////

Additionally, relief from stay is warranted where the Debtors fail to, "present any evidence about what their reorganization plan is or when it could be proposed and brought to fruition. . ."; without evidence these Debtors have, "failed to meet [their] burden of showing the "essential" connection between the property and a reasonably possible, successful reorganization in a reasonable time." In re Teresi, 134 B.R. 392, 398 (Bankr.E.D.Cal. 1991). Here, the Debtors do not own the Property because, Movant is the Owner of the Property. Debtors cannot reorganize a claim that is not property of the bankruptcy estate. Thus,